

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/608,512	06/27/2003	Kenneth D. Nelson	T-6218 (538-55)	9299
7590 04/29/2005		EXAMINER		
Michael E. Carmen, Esq. DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP 333 Earle Ovington Blvd. Uniondale, NY 11553			RABAGO, ROBERTO	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1713	
	•		DATE MAILED: 04/29/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\rho$			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/608,512	NELSON, KENNETH D.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Roberto Rábago	1713			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine departed term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror e, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ays will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 N	March 2005.				
·	his action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
· '=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims	•				
4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-79</u> is/are pending in the application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>22-46,50,51,55,56,65,69 and 73</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-21,47-49,52-54,57-64,66-68,70-72 and 74-79</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
	)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 June 2003</u> is/are: a)  accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar				
3) 🔀 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/22/03</u> .	Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal I  6) Other:	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/608,512

Art Unit: 1713

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of group I, species of Example 2, in the reply filed on 3/14/2005 is acknowledged.

Following search of the elected species, the remaining species are joined for examination.

## Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed 3/11/2005 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. DE 1645259 has not been considered because no copy thereof has been provided.

The information disclosure statement filed 3/11/2005 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it does not include a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent listed that is not in the English language. Foreign language references EP 658572 and DE 2,014,475 have not been considered.

#### **Drawings**

3. Figure 1 is objected to because the font size is too small to be clearly legible.

Application/Control Number: 10/608,512 Page 3

Art Unit: 1713

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1, 2, 9-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Harrison et al. (US 6,451,920).

The reference shows in Examples 1-5 a copolymer of high methylvinylidine polyisobutylene and maleic anhydride with succinic ratios of 1.06 or 1.07. Although the reference does not include a working example of an esterified product, the reference clearly suggests this embodiment at col. 7, line 46 through col. 8, line 14. Although the reference has not measured the values corresponding to those claimed as "m", "n" and "x", nor the molecular weights of the esterified polymers, these would appear to be inherent in the reference polymers because applicants have claimed large ranges of conventional values and have used substantially the same production method as that disclosed in the reference method. The burden of proof is shifted to applicants to show that the reference examples do not include the unreported values.

Application/Control Number: 10/608,512

Art Unit: 1713

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 3-8, 47-49, 52-54, 57-64, 66-68, 70-72 and 74-79 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harrison et al. (US 6,451,920).

The parent claims are discussed with respect to this reference above. The reference discloses use of the copolymer primarily as a lubricant and fuel additive (col. 1, lines 35-38; col. 2, lines 32-49; col. 8, line 65 through col. 10, line 21), providing motivation for the ordinary skilled worker to use such compounds in conventional fuel and lubricant applications.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roberto Rábago whose telephone number is (571) 272-1109. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Roberto Rábago Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1713

RR April 26, 2005